



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,860	07/12/2004	Jeffrey Owen Phillips	04242373	1266
26565 7590 10/20/2008 MAYER BROWN LLP P.O. BOX 2828 CHICAGO, IL 60690				
EXAMINER				
CHOI, FRANK I				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1616				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/20/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@mayerbrown.com

<p align="center">Interview Summary</p>	Application No. 10/795,860	Applicant(s) PHILLIPS, JEFFREY OWEN	
	Examiner FRANK I. CHOI	Art Unit 1616	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) FRANK I. CHOI. (3) _____.
- (2) Joseph Mahoney. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 75 and 77-92.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,489,346, EP584,588, Carroll, Kim et al., Whittle et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant indicated that the new matter issues would be corrected. The examiner indicated that this would overcome US 6,489,346. The examiner indicated that applicant should discuss the affidavits filed in 10/407,552 and submit copies of the same to overcome the other prior art. Replacement drawing Fig. 5 needs to be corrected.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Johann R. Richter/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616